IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

T,	A٦	ΛO	NT	ELI	JISC	N
	4 111	-	'T I I		Δ LOU	/ L N .

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 16-0775

MARK GARMAN, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 31st day of October, 2017, upon consideration of the Petition for a Writ of *Habeas Corpus* and accompanying Memorandum of Law, (ECF Nos. 1 & 7), Respondents' Response in Opposition, (ECF No. 18), the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Marilyn Heffley, (ECF No. 19), and Ellison's Objections, (ECF No. 28), it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- Ellison's objections are OVERRULED and Magistrate Judge Heffley's Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED;
- Ellison's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED and DISMISSED with prejudice;
- 3. No certificate of appealability shall issue;¹
- 4. This case shall be **CLOSED** for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

<u>/s/ Gerald J. Pappert</u> GERALD J. PAPPERT, J.

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Reasonable jurists would not debate the Court's disposition of petitioner's claims. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).